## 2012-2013 Funding Formula for ABE & GED+

Date: March 23, 2012

Total Funding Total ABE Federal + State Program \$ \$17,098,631 \$9,216,770 \$7,881,861 IDOC \$247,800 Fed-IDOC \$7,634,061 Remaining Program Money for Alloc. \$16,850,831 Region 1 Addition for FY11 Error \$250,000 GED+ Allocation \$1,100,000 Formula Weights (See Below for Formula Calculation) Enrollment 10% UI Claimants w/o Cı Performance 50%

		Need				Perform	ance		FY12					FY11			
	Counties	Enroll. Avg. 10-12		UI Claim. w/o cred. (2011)		Earned Reimb (7/1- 12/31/11)		Program			Performance			85%			Program \$ Change
R		#	%	#	%	\$	%	Base 1	State	Federal	Allocation <sup>2</sup>	MOE	GED+	Guaranteed	MOE	GED+	%
1	Jasper, Lake,LaPorte, Newton, Porter, Pulaski, Starke	3714	13%	2826	9%	\$383,945	9.8%	\$2,128,272	\$1,027,344	\$1,100,928	\$375,577	\$485,763	\$122,611	\$2,933,594	\$552,755	\$215,534	-27%
2	Elkhart, Fulton, Kosciusko, Marshall, St. Joseph	3089	11%	5945	18%	\$516,490	13.2%	\$2,171,196	\$1,187,562	\$983,634	\$383,152	\$495,560	\$141,733	\$1,633,077	\$307,708	\$450,338	33%
3	Adams, Allen, DeKalb, Grant, Huntington, LaGrange, Noble, Steuben, Wabash, Wells, Whitley	2610	9%	4278	13%	\$438,150	11.2%	\$1,799,877	\$984,465	\$815,412	\$317,625	\$410,809	\$117,494	\$1,750,712	\$329,876	\$321,990	3%
4	Benton, Carroll, Cass, Clinton, Fountain, Howard, Miami, Montgomery, Tippecanoe, Tipton, Warren, White	2777	10%	2306	7%	\$410,320	10.5%	\$1,679,264	\$918,494	\$760,770	\$296,341	\$383,280	\$109,620	\$1,762,510	\$332,097	\$205,857	-5%
5	Marion, Boone, Hamilton, Hancock, Hendricks, Johnson, Madison, Morgan, Shelby	7869	29%	8971	27%	\$928,790	23.7%	\$4,381,364	\$2,396,441	\$1,984,923	\$773,182	\$1,000,015	\$286,010	\$4,523,438	\$852,318	\$687,249	-3%
6	Blackford, Delaware, Fayette, Henry, Jay, Randolph, Rush, Union, Wayne	1907	7%	1606	5%	\$400,750	10.2%	\$1,409,871	\$771,146	\$638,725	\$248,801	\$321,793	\$92,035	\$1,318,938	\$248,518	\$134,542	7%
7	Clay, Parke, Putnam, Sullivan, Vermillion, Vigo	831	3%	1164	4%	\$108,410	2.8%	\$496,043	\$271,317	\$224,726	\$87,537	\$113,218	\$32,381	\$442,898	\$83,441	\$81,637	12%
8	Brown, Daviess, Greene, Lawrence, Martin, Monroe, Orange, Owen	1109	4%	1401	4%	\$145,735	3.7%	\$656,285	\$358,963	\$297,322	\$115,815	\$149,792	\$42,841	\$634,416	\$119,538	\$101,380	3%
9	Bartholomew, Dearborn, Decatur, Franklin, Jackson, Jefferson, Jennings, Ohio, Ripley, Switzerland	1457	5%	1428	4%	\$253,555	6.5%	\$974,417	\$532,969	\$441,448	\$171,956	\$222,404	\$63,609	\$815,196	\$153,600	\$102,627	20%
10	Clark, Crawford, Floyd, Harrison, Scott, Washington	921	3%	1204	4%	\$142,490	3.6%	\$593,333	\$324,531	\$268,802	\$104,706	\$135,424	\$38,732	\$471,821	\$88,902	\$87,659	26%
11	Dubois, Gibson, Knox, Perry, Pike, Posey, Spencer, Vanderburgh, Warrick	1297	5%	1569	5%	\$192,280	4.9%	\$810,908	\$443,536	\$367,372	\$143,101	\$185,084	\$52,935	\$591,434	\$111,440	\$111,187	37%
	SUBTOTALS	27,579	100%	32,698	100%	\$3,920,915	<u> </u>	\$17,100,831	\$9,216,770	\$7,884,061	\$3,017,794	\$3,903,144	\$1,100,000	\$16,878,034	\$3,180,193	\$2,500,000	
DOC	IN Dept. of Corrections							\$247,800		\$247,800	\$43,729	\$8,900,000		\$220,594			
							TOTALS	\$17,348,631	\$9,216,770	\$8,131,861	\$3,061,523	\$12,803,144	\$1,100,000	\$17,098,628			

$$\begin{split} &^{I}\text{Base Allocations were determined as follows:} \\ &=\text{Total Funds} * \left(\frac{En_R}{En_{ST}} * \text{En Wt} + \frac{UI_R}{UI_{ST}} * \text{UI Wt} + \frac{Reim_R}{Reim_{ST}} * \text{Perf. Wt} \; \right) \end{split}$$

En<sub>R</sub> / En<sub>ST</sub> = 2 year enrollment average (10-11 & 11-12 Proj.) for Region/ 2 year enrollment average for state

 $UI_{R/ST} = 2011$  UI Claimants for Region/UI Claimants for State

Reimbg/Reimbg\_T = Total Dollars Earned in Outcomes per the Adult Education Reimbursement Schedule for Region / Total Dollars Earned in Outcomes per the Schedule for the State Reimbursement accrual is from July 1, 2011 through December 31,2012, pulled 3/23/12, and excludes the Enrollment Reimbursement and EL Civics Reimbursement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These dollars may be earned by each region via reimbursable outcomes after a region has earned its base allocation in terms of reimbursable outcomes.